

INDEX

VOLUME 9

Accountability movement in higher education, 1.1-2, 14-16, 6.5, 15; linking assessment to, 2.11, 13

Accounting education, 4.16

Accreditation and assessment, 4.1-2, 8-9, 15, 6.4; engineering and technology, 3.3, 4, 4.4

Adams, D. M., 3.6

Advisory boards, assessment, 2.11, 6.5

Ahmadi, R. T., 3.6-7, 9

Aitken, J. E., 2.4

Aldridge, M. D., 3.3

Alumni surveys, 4.4, 15

American Association for Higher Education, 2.12, 5.4

American Association of University Professors, 5.3

American College Testing, 3.10

American Philosophical Association, 2.10

Angelo, T. A., 2.4, 4.12, 6.6

Annenberg/Corporation for Public Broadcasting, 4.10

Artifacts, assessment, 3.15, 4.5, 6-7, 5.1-2

ASSESS listserv, 2.12

Assessment, history of, 4.1-2, 15, 6.3

Assessment measures/instruments: critical thinking, 6.11-13, 16; notebook approach, 4.15; workbook, 5.11. *See also* Surveys; Testing

Assessment sites. *See* World Wide Web

Association of American Colleges, 5.2

Association for Institutional Research, 1.5, 2.12, 15

Audiences and stakeholders, assessment, 1.10-11, 2.12-12, 4.9, 6.8-10

Ball State University, 4.14, 5.12-13

Banta, T. W., 1.14, 2.3, 3.3, 5, 5.3, 9, 6.3, 15

Barclay, R. O., 2.4

Barr, R. B., 6.6

Berquist, W. H., 6.4

Bers, T. H., 4.6

Bibliographies: critical thinking outcomes, 6.13, 16; quality and accountability, 1.14

Bloom, B. S., 5.10

Boatright, K., 1.4, 13

Borden, V., 1.14, 2.12

Boyer, E. L., 1.6

Brigham, S., 3.15

Brockman, R. J., 2.15

Brown, N. W., 3.4, 13

Burke, J. C., 1.3, 8, 15

Business community involvement, 2.11, 15, 4.14, 15; grants, 3.2, 15

Business education, 4.16

California Critical Thinking Skills Test (CCTST), 2.10-11, 6.11

California (UC) campuses, University of, 4.8

Campus Profiles, 5.8-9

Campus Strategies, 2.14-15, 4.12-13, 14, 5.12-13

Cape Town, University of, 2.8-9, 13

Carroll, L., 5.1

Carusone, D. D., 3.15

CD-ROM Student Portfolio Project, 5.1-2, 10

Chickering, A., 4.10

Church-related higher education, 2.16

Classroom assessment, 6.6.7, 14; educational games, 3.6-7, 9; nursing program, 4.12-13; student-centered, 5.6-7, 11

Collaboration, institutional, 1.10, 4.9, 6.5; accountability indicators, 1.7; The Flashlight Project, 4.3, 10-11, 13

College Outcomes Survey, ACT, 3.10

College Student Experiences Questionnaire (CSEQ), 2.12, 3.5, 10, 16

Colorado at Boulder, University of, 5.15

Colorado Commission on Higher Education, 1.10-11, 2.14-15

Colorado School of Mines, 3.1-2, 3, 14-15

Communication of assessment information: colleges guides, 1.10, 2.12, 6.2, 15; private, 5.5, 8; public, 2.12-12, 4.9

Communications outcomes, expected, 6.8-10

Community colleges, 1.10, 5.11; general education assessment, 4.5-7; statewide core indicator project, 2.14-15

Community involvement, 2.4, 11

Community planning games, 3.6-7, 9

Comparisons among campuses, 1.3, 8, 10, 6.1, 15

Connolly, M., 2.1-2, 12-13

Contest, portfolio, 2.4, 11

Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI), 3.15

Cotton, S., 3.6-7, 9

Course assessment/improvement, 6.6-7; content, 3.8-9, 5.3, 7, 9, 10; on-line evaluation forms, 2.12

Courts, P. L., 2.11

Criteria and scoring: areas and indicators, 1.6-7; external assessment, 6.1-2, 15; grids, 6.6-7; paper-grading, 3.9

Critical reading outcomes, 6.9-10

Critical thinking assessment, 2.8-9, 13, 3.8-9, 5.2, 6-7, 10, 11; measures, 6.11-13, 16; using surveys, 3.10-11

Cross, K. P., 2.4, 4.12

Culture, institutional, 3.11, 5.1-2, 8-9, 10

Cunningham, S., 1.10-11

Curriculum evaluation: general education, 2.6-7, 4.5-7; of major and degree, 6.6-7; portfolio assessment, 2.8-9, 13; student-centered, 5.6-7, 11

Customer satisfaction focus, 3.2, 14-15

Departmental assessment, 4.5-7, 6.14-15; encouraging, 5.4-5, 14; and graduate student learning, 3.12-13

Detroit-Mercy, University of, 3.8-9

Deweese, V., 1.6-7

Dillon, W. T., 2.4, 11, 15

Discourse acts, 5.12-13

Educational Commission of the States (ECS), 4.9

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC), 2.2, 5.15

El-Khawas, E., 6.5

E-mail, 2.12, 4.3, 10, 5.1-2

Employers and college outcomes, 1.11, 6.8-10

Employment preparedness, 4.14

Engineering Criteria 2000, 3.3, 5, 4.4

Engineering Practices Introductory Course Sequence (EPICS), 3.1-2, 14-15

England, 6.1-2, 14-15

English department assessment, 3.12-13, 5.12-13

Esselborn, R. A., 3.6-7, 9

Ewell, P. T., 1.9, 11, 14, 4.8-9

External assessment, 6.1-2, 7, 15

Facione, P. A., 2.10-11, 3.11, 6.11

Faculty: accountability indicators, 1.7, 11, 5.2, 5, 10; on college outcomes, 6.8-10; development, 6.2, 14-15

Faculty involvement: in general education assessment, 4.6-7, 5.1-2, 4-5, 10, 14; teaching and learning strategy, 6.2, 14-15; teamwork, 5.3, 6-7, 9; trust factors, 5.5, 8

Financial responsibility/efficiency: accountability indicators, 1.7; and student learning, 2.11, 15, 4.11, 5.11, 6.9

Flashlight Project, 4.3, 10-11, 13

Focus groups, 1.11, 5.7, 14

Freedom, academic, 5.3, 7, 6.5

Freeman, T. M., 1.3, 8, 15

Frick, J., 2.2

From the States, 1.9, 4.8-9

Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE), 2.2, 16, 4.3, 10-11, 13

Funding for Results, Missouri, 1.12-13

Gainen, J., 4.16

Gaither, G. H., 1.1-2, 6-7, 14-15

Games, simulation, 3.6-7, 9

Ganahl, D. J. and R. J., 2.4

Gee, J., 2.9

General education assessment, 5.1-2, 4-5, 10; comprehensive programs, 2.6-7, 4.5-7

Gerst, J., 3.12-13

Globalizing assessment, 6.3, 15

Government Web sites, 2.2

Graduate student outcomes, 3.5, 6.14; and grading, 3.9, 12-13, 6.6-7

Graham, S., 3.10

Gray, P. J., 5.8-9

Green, K. C., 2.1

Group learning, 4.12-13, 5.4-5, 6.9

Gutmann, A., 6.4

Hamp-Lyons, L., 2.8

Hanson, L. K., 5.12-13

Hogan, T. P., 5.4-5, 14

hooks, b., 5.7

Howe, A. F., 6.1-2, 14-15

Hummer, A., 3.8-9

Hutchings, P., 2.13

Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, 2.12

Institutional assessment/improvement, 6.1-2, 4-5, 14-15; and accreditation, 4.1-2, 15; areas and indicators, 1.6-7

Internet Resources for Institutional Research, 5.15

Inter-Regional Accrediting Committee, 4.9

Interview, Reflective Judgment, 6.11-12

- Jacobs, S. S., 2.10
 Johnson, C., 2.1-2, 5.15
 Johnson County Community College, 4.6
 Johnstone, S., 4.3
 Jones, C. S., 4.14
 Jones, E. A., 6.8-10, 15
 King, P. M., 3.11, 6.11, 13
 Kiphart, M. J., 2.6-7
 Kitchener, K. S., 3.11, 6.11, 13
 Kurstedt, P., 4.4, 15
 Lambert, J., 2.1-2, 12-13
 Lategan, L.O.K., 6.4-5
 Laws, state, 1.9, 11, 2.14
 Layzell, D., 1.4, 13
 Light, R. J., 5.5
 Locatelli, P., 4.16
 Lovrinic, J., 4.10
 Lyall, K., 1.13
 Magruder, J. M., 5.8
 Maidment, R., 3.6
 Marzano, R., 4.6
 Meeting outcomes, 3.14; faculty team, 5.5, 6-7
 Midlands Technical College, 5.11
 Milam, J., 2.1, 5.15
 Miller, B. J., 2.6-7
 Miller, R. L., 3.1-2, 14-15
 Misiewicz, K. M., 4.16
 Mission fulfillment, 1.7, 11, 2.16, 5.2, 10
 Missouri, 1.12-13, 5.8-9
 Missouri at Columbia, University of, 3.10-11, 6.11-13, 16
 Morgan, M., 6.7
 Muffo, J. A., 4.4, 15
 Murphy, P. D., 3.5, 12-13
 National accreditation standards, 3.4, 4.9
 National assessment, 6.1-2, 3, 4-5, 15
 National Center for Education Statistics, 2.2, 6.8-10
 National Center for Higher Education Management Systems, 1.10, 11
 National Center on Postsecondary Teaching, Learning, and Assessment, 5.11, 6.15
 National Commission of Higher Education, 2.8
 National Policy Board, 4.9
 New York state, 1.3, 8, 15
 North Dakota State University, 3.12-13
 Nottingham, University of, 6.2, 14-15
 Novak, C. C., 5.1-2, 10
 Nursing education, 4.12-13, 5.16
 O'Hara, K. A., 4.5-7
 Old Dominion University, 3.4, 13
 Olds, B. M., 3.1-2, 3, 14-15
 Outcomes assessment. *See* Student learning assessment/improvement
 Oxford Brookes University, 6.6-7
 Pace, C. R., 3.10, 16
 Pascarella, E. T., 3.11
 Peer reviews, student, 4.12-13
 Pepperdine University, 5.1-2, 10
 Performance funding initiatives, 1.1, 9, 10, 11
 Performance funding program: English, 6.1-2, 14-15; two-tier (state and campus), 1.12-13
 Performance indicator systems, 1.2, 3, 4, 6-7, 8, 10-11, 12-13, 14-15, 2.14-15, 5.15
 Performance indicators: key areas and, 1.6-7; national, 6.1-2, 15; quantity and measurability of, 1.10, 11
 Performance-based assessment, 1.14, 4.5-7
 Pew Higher Education Roundtable, 5.4
 Pike, G. R., 2.10-11, 3.10-11, 5.7
 Policy center sites, 2.2, 3, 12, 5.15
 Policymakers survey, 6.8-10
 Portfolios, assessment, 2.3, 3.4, 13, 4.14; CD-ROM student, 5.1-2, 10; English writing, 5.12-13; institutional, 4.5-7, 8-9; program, 2.4, 11, 15; sorting of description and application, 2.6-7
 Primary trait analysis, 3.5, 12-13
 Problem-solving outcomes, 4.7, 6.6, 8-9
 Program assessment/improvement portfolios, 2.8-9, 13, 5.12-13
 Public service indicators, 1.7
 Publishing, Web, 2.12-13, 5.1-2, 15
 Quality Assessment Program, national English, 6.1-2, 15
 Quality assurance, 1.14, 6.3, 4-5
 Ratcliff, J. L., 5.11
 Reading outcomes, 5.7, 6.9-10
 Reflective judgment measures, 6.11-13
 Report checksheet, student team, 3.14
 Reports, assessment: annual, 5.4-5, 14; assessor panel outcomes, 6.1; Web-based, 2.12
 Research quality indicators, 1.7
 Rogers, J. T., 4.1-2, 15
 Ruppert, S., 1.1, 14
 Rust, C., 6.6-7
 Saint Mary's College of Maryland, 2.6-7
 Sanders, K., 1.4, 13
 SCANS 2000, 3.6
 Schaeiwitz, J. A., 3.3
 Schechter, E., 5.15
 Schilling, K., 3.4
 Schroeder, C. F., 3.15
 Scott, L., 2.8
 Scranton, University of, 5.4-5, 14
 Seagrave, R. C., 3.3
 Self-assessment: student portfolio, 4.14, 5.2, 10; surveys, 3.10-11
 Self-regulation by universities, 6.4-5
 Seminars, faculty assessment, 5.2, 5, 10
 Seybert, J. A., 4.5-7
 Shay, S., 2.8-9, 13
 Simulation games, classroom, 3.6-7, 9
 Sites, Web. *See* World Wide Web
 Size, class, 5.7
 Skinner, S. B., 6.11
 Smith, M., 2.8
 Sociology education, 5.6-7, 11
 South Africa, 2.8-9, 13, 6.4-5
 South Carolina initiative, 1.1, 9, 11
 Southeastern University and College Coalition for Engineering Education, 4.4, 15
 Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, 1.9
 Southern Regional Education Board, 1.9
 Spurr, C. W., 2.6-7
 Stamford, A. M., 5.4-5, 14
 Starting Points, Web, 5.15
 State policies, 4.8; and laws, 1.9, 11, 2.14; and performance indicator systems, 1.1-2, 3, 4, 6, 14-15, 2.14-15
 Statistical analyses, portfolio, 2.7, 4.8-9
 Steele, J. M., 4.5, 5.14
 Stein, R. B., 1.12-13
 Stereotyping of/by academics, 2.15
 Student involvement, 4.14, 5.8-9, 14
 Student learning assessment/improvement, 3.4, 15, 5.9, 11; accountability indicators, 1.7; first-year to senior, 2.7, 6.13; general education, 4.5-7; and primary trait analysis, 3.5, 12-13; through portfolio review, 5.10, 12-13. *See also* Portfolios, assessment
 Student-centered curriculum, 5.6-7, 11
 SUNY 2000: *A Vision for the New Century*, 1.3
 Survey on college outcomes, 6.6, 8-10
 Surveys, assessment, 6.14; alumni, 4.4, 15, 6.14; constructing self-report, 3.11; critical thinking outcomes, 3.10-11; Flashlight Project, 4.10-11, 13; senior, 2.7, 5.5, 14; Web-based, 2.12
 Syracuse University, 5.6-7, 11
 Teaching effectiveness, 1.7, 11, 5.6-7, 11
 Teaching Enhancement Office roles, 6.2, 14-15
 Teamwork, faculty, 5.3, 6-7, 9
 Technology and assessment, 4.3, 10-11, 13, 5.15; CD-ROM portfolios, 5.1-2, 10; software programs, 2.13, 3.6-7, 9
 Testa, A., 2.1-2, 5.15
 Testing, 5.5, 6.7; critical thinking skills, 2.10-11, 6.11-12
 Texas A&M University System, 1.6-7
 Thompson, D., 5.1-2, 10
 Total Quality Management (TQM), 3.1-2, 3, 14-15
 Transferable (core) skills, 2.14-15, 6.7
 Truman State University, 5.8-9
 Undergraduate education principles, 4.10
 U.S. Dept. of Education, 2.2, 5.11, 15
 U.S. Dept. of Labor, 3.6
 Virtual universities, 4.9, 5.1-2, 10
 Voorhees, R. A., 2.14-15
 Walvoord, B. E., 3.12, 6.3
 Watson, G. B., 6.11
 Web Corner, 5.15
 Western Association of Schools and Colleges, 4.8-9
 Western Cooperative for Educational Telecommunications, 4.3
 Western Governors University, 4.9
 White, E. M., 2.9
 Winsor, J. L., 2.4
 Winter, J. K. and E. J., 2.2
 Wisconsin, University of, 1.4, 13
 Woehle, L. M., 5.6-7, 11
 Wood, P. K., 6.11-13, 16
 Workbook, assessment program, 5.11
 World Wide Web: assessment information, 2.1-2, 12-13, 4.4, 13, 5.15; student Web pages, 5.1-2, 10
 Writing outcomes, 4.12-13, 5.6-7, 6.12; portfolio assessment of, 2.8-9, 4.14, 5.12-13
 Zoology education, 3.12-13
 Zuniga, R., 4.3, 10

